HOFLAND & TOMSHECK Joshua Tomsheck, Esq. Nevada State Bar No. 9210 1 2 JoshT@hoflandlaw.com , 228 South Fourth Street, 1st Floor 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 895-6760 Facsimile: (702) 731-6910 4 Attorney for Defendant 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 10 Case No.: 2:15-cr-00078-JAD-NJK Plaintiff, 11 VS. 12 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO 13 PHILLIP ALLERSON VAUGHN CONTINUE SENTENCING DATE, 14 (SEVENTH REQUEST) Defendant 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by and through Steven Myhre, United States Attorney, Dan Cowhig, Assistant United States Attorney, and Kathryn C. Newman, Assistant United States Attorney, and defendant, PHILLIP ALLERSON VAUGHN, by and through his attorney, Joshua Tomsheck, Esq., of the law firm of Hofland & Tomsheck, that the sentencing date in the above-captioned matter now scheduled for March 27, 2017 vacated and continued to a date and time convenient to the Court, no sooner than thirty (30) days beyond the current setting, in order for the Parties to have sufficient time to prepare for sentencing in this matter.

This is the SEVENTH request by the undersigned defense counsel for a continuance of the scheduled sentencing date, is made in good faith and not for the purpose of delay and comports with the good cause requirements of Fed. R. Crim.

1	P. 32(b)(2).		
2	1.	1. Counsel for the Defendant is appointed CJA counsel.	
3	2.	Counsel for the Defendant is co	oncerned that legal issues arising out of
4		the decision in USA v Johnson	night prejudice the Defendant if the
5		case proceeds to sentencing in it	s current posture.
6	3.	Counsel for the Defendant is	s researching these matters and has
7		engaged the Government Cour	nsel regarding a potential resolution to
8		protect the interests of the Defer	ndant.
9	4.	Counsel for the Defendant is set	for a firm jury trial on March 27, 2017
10		in the Eighth Judicial District Co	ourt, Clark County, Department 12, in
11		Case C-16-313395-1, State of New	vada v. Rafael Byrd, which is a burglary
12		while in possession of a firearm,	attempt robbery with use of a deadly
13		weapon, attmpt robbery where	victim is an older person, and first
14		degree kidnapping case. This m	atter is a joint trial with a Co-
15		Defendant. This trial is expected	to last two (2) weeks.
16	5.	Denial of this request for conti	nuance would deny the parties herein
17		time and the opportunity to effe	ectively and thoroughly prepare for the
18		sentencing hearing, taking into	account the exercise of due diligence.
19	6.	Additionally, denial of this rec	quest for continuance could result in a
20		miscarriage of justice.	
21	7. For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best		sons, the ends of justice would best be
22		served by a continuance of the s	entencing date.
23			
24		VEN MYHRE ed States Attorney	HOFLAND & TOMSHECK
25		<i>y</i>	
26	/S.	_D. Cowhig	_/S./J. Tomsheck
27 28	DAN	COWHIG tant United States Attorney	JOSHUA TOMSHECK, ESQ Counsel for Defendant
-	A5515	tarit Ornica States Attorney	Counsel for Deteriorist

1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: 2:15-cr-00078-JAD-NJK 4 Plaintiff, 5 V 6 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS 7 PHILLIP ALLERSON VAUGHN OF LAW, AND ORDER 8 Defendant 9 Based upon the pending Stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing 10 therefore, the Court finds that: 11 The parties have stipulated to continue the sentencing hearing date as 12 presently scheduled. 13 This Court, being conviced that adequate showing has been made that 14 were this request for continuance to be denied, counsel would not have the 15 necessary time to effectively prepare for the sentencing hearing, taking into account 16 the exercise of due diligence, and a miscarriage of justice could result, based on the 17 following: 18 Counsel for the Defendant is appointed CJA counsel. 1. 19 2. Counsel for the Defendant is concerned that legal issues arising out of 20 the decision in <u>USA v Johnson</u> might prejudice the Defendant if the case proceeds to 21 sentencing in its current posture. 22 3. Counsel for the Defendant is researching these matters and has engaged 23 the Government Counsel regarding a potential resolution to protect the interests of 24 the Defendant. 25 4. Counsel for the Defendant is set for a firm jury trial on March 27, 2017 in 26 the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Department 12, in Case C-16-27 28 313395-1, State of Nevada v. Rafael Byrd, which is a burglary while in possession of

1	a firearm, attempt robbery with use of a deadly weapon, attmpt robbery where			
2	victim is an older person, and first degree kidnapping case. This matter is a joint			
3	trial with a Co-Defendant. This trial is expected to last two (2) weeks.			
4	5. Denial of this request for continuance would deny the parties herein time			
5	and the opportunity to effectively and thoroughly prepare for the sentencing			
6	hearing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.			
7	6. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a			
8	miscarriage of justice.			
9	7. For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be			
10	served by a continuance of the sentencing date.			
11				
12				
13	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the sentencing hearing currently scheduled for March 27, 2017 is vacated and continued to May 16, 2017, at the hour of 11:00 a.m.			
14	27, 2017 is vacated and continued to may 10, 2017, at the flour of 11.00 a.m.			
15				
16	DATED this 24th day of March, 2017.			
17 18	1084			
19	United States District Court Judge			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				